

Network performance analysis in virtualized environments

Adel Belkhiri Michel Dagenais May 5, 2019

> Polytechnique Montréal Laboratoire **DORSAL**

Agenda

Introduction

Investigations

- [–] Main Concepts in Virtual Networking
- Preliminary Results
- Use cases

Demo

Conclusion and ongoing work



Context of the topic

- Most traditional network monitoring tools are not compatible with virtual environments (cloud computing) :
 - No support for some cloud computing properties (live migration, etc.)
 - Some performance metrics not adapted to virtual networks specificities.





1) Profile different technologies used in networking virtualization : paravirtualized network cards, Linux Bridges, Open vSwitch, etc.

2) Analyze the performance of virtualized networks based on relevant performance metrics.

- 3) Propose efficient tools to help administrators (in IaaS environments) to
 - Identify bottlenecks in virtual networks
 - Understand the causes of latencies
 - Troubleshoot networking problems

POLYTECHNIQUE MONTREAL – Adel Belkhiri

• A VN (**Virtual Network**) is made of virtualized network components (such as network cards, switches, and routers).

Introduction

Investigations

Demo

Conclusion

- NIC virtualization :
 - Full virtualization (e1000, ...)
 - Para-virtualization (virtio-net, vhost-net, ...)
 - Hardware-assisted virtualization (SR-IOV, VMDq, ...)
- Switch virtualization :
 - Linux bridges
 - Open vSwitch



Main Concepts

- Switch/Bridge Operating :
 - **Learning :** determine which machine is behind a specific port and store the information in its FDB (*Forwarding DataBase*) table.
 - Flooding : send the packet to all its ports (except the one from which the packet was received)
 - **STP** : protocol to disable ports when wiring loops are detected.



Main Concepts

• What is a VLAN ?

"A virtual LAN (VLAN) is any broadcast domain that is partitioned and isolated in a computer network at the data link layer (OSI layer 2)." [1]



Main Concepts

- How to create a VLAN ?
 - The extent of a VLAN can span to more than one switch.



\$ sudo bridge vlan add vid 20 pvid untagged dev vnet0

Work Environment

- Virtualization Software :
 - Qemu, KVM and libvirt
 - Vhost-net and Linux bridges

Data Collection :

- Tracing using LTTng (*Linux Trace Toolkit next generation*)
- Kernel space tracepoints and tracing limited to the host machine

• Performance Analyses :

- Trace Compass framework
- Python babeltrace bindings



Preliminary Results

- Except for the **Stream List view**, Trace Compass does not offer any other performance analysis related to networks activities !!
 - $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\ensuremath{\otimes}}}$ Moreover, this view is only dedicated to PCAP traces.
- 1) Generic Analyses : Analyses compatible with physical and virtual networks.
 - Tx/Rx bandwidth per NIC
 - Packet drop rate
 - Packet offloading rate
 - And a new Stream List view ;)
- 2) Specific Analyses : Analyses compatible with VNs only :
 - Automatic topology discovery
 - Network traffic flow analysis



Generic Analyses

- Many events describe the journey of packets through Linux networking stack :
 - lttng_statedump_network_interface
 - napi_poll
 - napi_gro_receive
 - net_if_receive_skb
 - skb_copy_datagram_iovec
 - skb_consume
 - skb_free
 - net_dev_queue
 - net_dev_start_xmit
 - net_dev_xmit



Introduction Investigations Demo Co

Generic Analyses

• Network Bandwidth view



• New "Stream List" view in Trace Compass

ቹ Stream List 2 🛱 🏭 Histogram 🗖 Properties 💷 Bookmarks 🗖 🗖									
Ethernet II Internet Protocol Version 4 Transmission Control Protocol User Datagram Protocol									
ID	Endpoint A	Endpoint B	Packets	Bytes	Packets A -> B	Bytes A -> B	Packets B -> A		
0	209.85.201.188/5228	192.168.2.14/40050	3	460	3	460	0		
1	35.222.85.5/80	192.168.2.14/55780	3	164	3	164	0		
2	35.222.85.5/80	192.168.2.14/55780	2	252	2	252	0		
3	192.168.122.61/46716	192.168.122.63/22	140172	1189619142	70506	1185619287	69666		
4	199.232.37.176/443	192.168.2.14/54066	2	112	2	112	0		
5	199.232.37.176/443	192.168.2.14/54066	13403	70075134	13403	70075134	0		
(40))•)		

Automatic Topology Discovery (1)

- Most of network diagnostic tools rely on the topology to understand network element dependencies.
- Manual mapping is not practical due to the size and dynamic behavior of virtual networks.
- Many algorithms and protocols were devised to automatically discover the topology of traditional networks :
 - **SNMP** (Simple Network Management Protocol) and **MIB** (Management Information Base) data.
 - LLDP (Link Layer Discovery Protocol) allows neighboring devices to become aware of each other and populate their MIBs
 - CDP (Cisco Discovery Protocol) : proprietary protocols

Automatic Topology Discovery (1)

- To discover the topology of VNs, our analysis uses the events describing the transactions applied on bridges FDBs (*Forwarding DataBase*) entries :
 - br_fdb_update
 - br_fdb_add
 - br_fdb_external_learn_add
 - fdb_delete
 - Ittng_statedump_network_interface
 - Ittng_statedump_network_bridge*

[16:18:02.065703012] (+0.000005589) carbon **br_fdb_update**: { cpu_id = 3 }, { br_dev = "virbr0", dev = "veth0", addr = [[0] = 0x30, [1] = 0xD6, [2] = 0x25, [3] = 0xF8, [4] = 0x4F, [5] = 0x44], vid = 1, added_by_user = 0 }

[16:17:59.332156065] (+0.000001185) carbon **lttng_statedump_network_bridge**: { cpu_id = 3 }, { name = "virbr1", hardware_addr = [[0] = 0x60, [1] = 0x60, [2] = 0x60, [3] = 0x60, [4] = 0x60, [5] = 0x60], bridge_type = ("master" : container = 1), enslaved = { ifce_name = "vnet2", ifce_hardware_addr = [[0] = 254, [1] = 68, [2] = 197, [3] = 175, [4] = 133, [5] = 53] }

adel@ca	arbon:~\$ sudo brctl	showmacs virbr0	
port no	o mac addr	is local?	ageing timer
1	50:50:50:50:50:50	yes	0.00
2	60:60:60:60:60:60	no	0.03
3	70:70:70:70:70:70:70	yes	0.00

Automatic Topology Discovery (2)

- We implemented and adapted the algorithm published in [2] to discover the topology of VNs based on FDBs tracing events.
 - Dynamically detect changes in the topology (after a live migration of a VM for example).
 - ✓ Discover associated VLANs.



Traffic Flows in VNs (1)

- How can we track and measure network traffic between VMs hosted in the same host ?
- LTTng tracepoints harnessed for this analysis :
 - net_if_receive_skb
 - net_dev_xmit
 - skb_kfree
 - skb_consume
 - br_forward_skb_entry*



[16:17:59.689844924] (+0.000005409) carbon br_forward_skb_entry: { cpu_id = 2 },
{ skbaddr = 0xFFF9CBE890B8100, len = 28, name = "virbr2", local_orig = 0 }

Traffic Flows in VNs (2)

<u>Algorithm</u> :

```
node_list ← {}
IF (event.name == net_if_receive_skb) OR (event.name ==
net_dev_xmit) OR (event.name == br_forward_skb_entry)
THEN
skbaddr ← event['skbaddr']
```

```
dev ← event['name']
```

```
size \leftarrow event['len']
```

add_node_to_list (node_list, skbaddr, dev, size)

ELSE IF (event.name == skb_kfree) **OR** (event.name == skb_consume) **THEN**

```
skbaddr ← event['skbaddr']
```

IF (skbaddr in node_list) THEN

```
dump_node_list_to_graph (G, node_list[skbaddr])
delete (node_list[skbaddr])
```

ENDIF

ENDIF

ENDIF



Use Cases (1)

- VM Placement
 - It is a part of the VM migration process
 - **Goal :** find the best strategy to maximize resources utilization by mapping VMs to host machines
 - What about preveting congestion in datacenter network ?



Use Cases (2)

- Virtual Network Resource Mapping
 - Multiple heterogeneous VNs cohabit on the same shared substrate network.
 - **Goal :** allocate the substrate resources for the VNs with respect to their resource requirements and their topologies.



*Taken from : https://www.researchgate.net/figure/An-example-of-the-resourcemapping-problem_fig1_313455464

Enough Talk, It's Time for a Demo



POLYTECHNIQUE MONTREAL – Adel Belkhiri

Conclusion

- Industry needs efficient tools to diagnose problems in virtual networks and identify the root causes of their latencies.
- Tracing techniques are great to collect low-level data needed to develop performance analyses specific to virtual networks.
- We are looking for new use cases and problems to solve in order to improve our analyses and tools



Ongoing Work

OpenvSwitch

• SDN (*Software-Defined Networking*) : Separation, at the hardware level, of the network control plane from the forwarding plane.



* Taken from : https://www.commsbusiness.co.uk/features /software-defined-networking-sdn-explained/

Questions?

E-Mail : adel.belkhiri@polymtl.ca

POLYTECHNIQUE MONTREAL – Adel Belkhiri

References

[1] Introduction To Ethernet VLAN (Free Preview), https://ippacket.com.au/lesson/chapter-1-introduction-to-ethernet-vlan/

[2] "An Efficient Algorithm for Ethernet Topology Discovery in Large Multi-subnet Networks," U. Uzair, H. F. Ahmad, A. Ali and H. Suguri, 2007 IEEE International Conference on System of Systems Engineering, San Antonio, TX, 2007, pp. 1-7.

[3] "An example of the resource mapping problem", https://www.researchgate.net/figure/An-example-of-the-resource-mapping-problem_fig1_313455464

[4] An example of the resource mapping problem, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/An-example-of-the-resource-mapping-problem_fig1_313455464

[5] Software Defined Networking (SDN) Explained, https://www.commsbusiness.co.uk/features/software-defined-networking-sdn-explained/

